Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/062,949	UEMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non final</u>		
(1) <u>Eleni A. Shiferaw</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jason D. Lohr</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-12		
Prior art documents discussed: 20020059364, and 6572025		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
<u>.</u>		•
Ele Sen		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/062,949

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: requiring amendments to particularly point out the invention. Example, discriminating information being unique, specifying descriminating information, and the user being the only validator of the discriminating information the validator user entered/stored but not a second/third person, and resolving 101, and 112 problems.